IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE J.CHELAMESWAR
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

WP No. 15317 of 2006

Between :

Jalagam Ramakrishna .. Petitioner

And

State of Andhra Pradesh
Rep. by Station House Officer,
I Town Police Station,
Tenali, Guntur District and
another .. Respondents

Dated : 21st August, 2006.

JUDGMENT : (per JC,J)

Writ Petition is filed with the prayer as follows :

> "For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the above named petitioner prays that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue any appropriate Writ, Order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents to produce Ms.Ankisetti Anusha who is in the custody of the 2nd respondent before this

> Hon'ble Court and set her at liberty forthwith and pass such further orders as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit in the circumstances of the case."

The petitioner complains in the present writ petition that he and the daughter of the 2nd respondent were in love. Apprehending that the 2nd respondent will not approve their love, the petitioner and the daughter of the 2nd respondent went to Rajahmundry on 20.6.2006. The averments in this regard are as follows :

> "3. …… Hence, we both went to Rajahmundry on 20.6.2006 on our way to Bhadrachalam to get ourselves married, but I came to know that the daughter of the 2nd respondent did not attain majority by that time and we wanted to wait till she attains majority on 15th July, 2006. In the meanwhile, on coming to know of our elopment, the 2nd respondent gave a police complaint and sent rowdy elements. The rowdies caught hold of us on 24.6.2006 and we were produced before the Police at Ravulapalem, East Godavari District. Then the police from Tenali came and took us to Tenali. The 2nd respondent took his daughter to his house and a case under Section 506

of IPC was booked and I was produced before the Court of Munsif Magistrate, Tenali on 28.6.2006."

Respondents are served. The daughter of the 2nd respondent was produced before this Court today. We examined her in the chambers of one of us (Justice J.Chelameswar's chamber). She denied the allegations made in the writ petition, though she admitted that she and the petitioner have been friends, she has no idea of getting married the alleged detenue at this age till she completes her education. Admittedly, the alleged detenue is a student of B.Tech., studying 2nd year. She denied the allegation that she had been forcefully held by her parents against her will and being prevented from meeting the petitioner.

In the circumstances, we do not see any merits in the writ petition and the same is dismissed.

(J.CHELAMESWAR, J)

(D.APPARAO, J)

21st August, 2006.
Knk